#.2/12	
HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP Moez M. Kaba, State Bar No. 257456	
Sourabh Mishra, State Bar No. 305185	
Amber Munoz, State Bar No. 328643 4 amunoz@hueston.com	
523 West 6th Street, Suite 400	
Telephone: (213) 788-4340 Facsimile: (888) 866-4825	
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
	DIGENICE COLUMN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE CENTRAL DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
VELLV TOVS HOLDINGS LLC	Case No. 2:24-cv-1169-JLS (MARx)
JAZWARES, LLC, KELLY	Case No. 2.24-cv-1109-JLS (WARX)
AMUSEMENT HOLDINGS, LLC,	DECLARATION OF SOURABH MISHRA IN SUPPORT OF
	PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO THE
Plaintiff,	COURT'S ORDER IN ECF 58
VS.	
BUILD-A-BEAR WORKSHOP, INC.,	
Defendant.	
	J
MISHRA DECLARATION ISO PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO ECF 58	
5977370	
	HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP Moez M. Kaba, State Bar No. 257456 mkaba@hueston.com Sourabh Mishra, State Bar No. 305185 smishra@hueston.com Amber Munoz, State Bar No. 328643 amunoz@hueston.com 523 West 6th Street, Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90014 Telephone: (213) 788-4340 Facsimile: (888) 866-4825 Attorneys for Plaintiffs UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DI KELLY TOYS HOLDINGS, LLC, JAZWARES, LLC, KELLY AMUSEMENT HOLDINGS, LLC, and JAZPLUS, LLC, Plaintiff, vs. BUILD-A-BEAR WORKSHOP, INC., Defendant.

1

2

5

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

27

- I, Sourabh Mishra, declare as follows:
- I am a Partner at Hueston Hennigan LLP ("Hueston Hennigan"), counsel of record for Plaintiffs in this action. If called upon to do so, I could and would testify truthfully based upon my own personal knowledge as follows.
- 2. I submit this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Response to the Court's Order in ECF 58. The purpose of this declaration is to detail the fees and costs billed by Hueston Hennigan and incurred by Plaintiffs in connection with Defendant's motion to compel underlying the Order in ECF 58 ("Motion to Compel").

Background of Hueston Hennigan and Relevant Personnel

- 3. Hueston Hennigan's offices are in Los Angeles and Newport Beach, California, and New York City, New York. Industry publications including Chambers, Law360, and the National Law Journal have recognized Hueston Hennigan as a leading litigation boutique. Hueston Hennigan regularly handles critical, high-stakes litigation for well-known companies.
- 4. Below, I briefly outline the qualifications of the attorneys who worked on this matter. In addition to their professional accomplishments, I believe that each person's experience with complex litigation additionally qualifies them to represent Plaintiffs in this matter.
- 5. The Hueston Hennigan team that worked on issues relating to the Motion to Compel included: two Partners (myself and Michael Todisco); and one Associate (Amber Munoz).
- 6. Sourabh Mishra. I am a Partner at Hueston Hennigan. I earned his undergraduate degree in economics and government from Dartmouth College, cum laude, in 2010, and graduated from Columbia Law School in 2015, where I was a Harlan Fiske Stone Scholar and a member of the Columbia Law Review. I have 26 worked at Hueston Hennigan since 2015 and have represented large companies in high-stakes, complex litigation. I have received recognition as an attorney, including: 28 | (1) one of five Rising Stars nationwide for Trials by Law360 in 2024; (2) 40 & Under

6

11

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 1 Hot List by Benchmark Litigation in 2024; (3) Leaders of Influence: Minority Attorneys, Los Angeles Business Journal in 2023; (4) Southern California Rising Star since 2020; and (5) Best Lawyers: Ones to Watch since 2021. Attached as **Exhibit B** to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of Mr. Mishra's biography as displayed on the Hueston Hennigan website.
 - 7. Michael Todisco. Mr. Todisco is a Partner at Hueston Hennigan. He earned his undergraduate degree from the University of Notre Dame, summa cum laude, and graduated from Stanford Law School in 2016, where he served as a senior editor for the *Stanford Law Review*. He has worked at Hueston Hennigan since 2017 and has represented large companies in high-stakes, complex litigation. Mr. Todisco has received recognition as an attorney, including: (1) the Rising Star of the Year by the Los Angeles Business Journal in 2021; (2) Southern California Rising Star since 2021; and (3) Best Lawyers; Ones to Watch since 2024. Attached as **Exhibit C** to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of Mr. Todisco's biography as displayed on the Hueston Hennigan website.
- 8. Amber Munoz. Amber Munoz is an Associate at Hueston Hennigan. She earned her undergraduate degree from the University of California, Santa Barbara, and graduated with Order of the Coif from UCLA School of Law in 2019, where she served 19 as an extern for the Honorable Kim McLane Wardlaw for the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and as a managing editor of the Women's Law Journal. Ms. Munoz has worked at Hueston Hennigan since 2019 and has represented large companies in high-stakes, complex litigation. Ms. Munoz has received recognition as an attorney, including as Southern California Rising Star in 2025. Attached as **Exhibit D** to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of Ms. Munoz's biography as displayed on the Hueston Hennigan website.

Fees and Costs Incurred Relating to the Motion to Compel

9. Hueston Hennigan will bill Plaintiffs for work relating to the Motion to Compel based on the rates and hours identified herein. A detailed breakdown of the fees is included in **Exhibit A**. We have included a column indicating whether the work was attributable to the Motion to Compel or briefing for fees after the Motion to Compel was decided.

- 10. In total, Plaintiffs request recovery of \$11,215.80 in fees. These fees are based on: (1) 7.7 hours by Mr. Mishra to analyze Plaintiffs' positions in the Motion to Compel, to be the primary drafter of the opposition sections and supporting materials to the Motion to Compel, and analyze Defendant's supplemental submission to the Motion to Compel; (2) 0.7 hours expended by Mr. Todisco to revise the opposition sections to the Motion to Compel; (3) 1.2 hours by Ms. Munoz to revise the opposition sections to the Motion to Compel; (4) 1.3 hours by Mr. Mishra to draft and revise materials in connection with the fees briefing; and (5) 2.5 hours by Ms. Munoz to draft and revise materials in connection with the fees briefing. In my experience, these hours are reasonable for the tasks they are associated with.
- 11. Plaintiffs are not seeking fees for billers other than Mr. Mishra, Mr. Todisco, and Ms. Munoz, including other attorneys who consulted on strategy relating to the Motion to Compel and staff who assisted with finalizing and filing materials relating to the Motion to Compel and fees briefing. Plaintiffs also are not seeking fees relating to the pre- or post-Order written and oral meet and confers about the same issues.
- 12. Hueston Hennigan's fee arrangement with Plaintiffs is a special rate for affirmative litigation. For all attorneys working on this case, Hueston Hennigan in March 2025 charged Plaintiffs \$930/hr with a 10% discount, meaning that the actual charged rate is \$837/hr. This discounted rate represents a significant discount when compared to Hueston Hennigan's standard billing rates for the attorneys-at-issue in 2025: an almost 50% discount for Mr. Mishra; almost 45% discount for Mr. Todisco; and an almost 30% discount for Ms. Munoz.

I am generally familiar with the market for legal services in Southern 1 13. California. Through my work as a partner at Hueston Hennigan and my experience in the legal industry, I am generally aware of the rates charged by attorneys with similar experience on similar matters. Based on my personal knowledge, the standard hourly rates charged by Hueston Hennigan are comparable to the prevailing market rates charged by similar firms. The rates billed are especially reasonable when considering the complexity of this matter. 8 14. To the extent the Court would like additional information, detail, or explanation about any of these submitted fees and costs, Plaintiffs and Hueston Hennigan are willing to provide it. 10 11 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America 12 that the foregoing is true and correct. 13 Executed this 1st day of April, 2025, at Newport Beach, California. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 MISHRA DECLARATION ISO PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO ECF 58